

All Tautological Implications:

03.03.2023

A proposition $p \rightarrow q$ is set to be tautological implications if $p \rightarrow q$ is a tautology.

We denote $p \rightarrow q$ as $p \Rightarrow q$.

i) Show that $(p \Rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)$ solution is enough to prove that $(p \Rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)$ is a tautology.

$$p \quad q \quad p \Rightarrow q \quad \neg q \quad \neg p \quad \neg q \rightarrow \neg p \quad \text{true}$$

$$T \quad T \quad T \quad F \quad F \quad T \quad T$$

$$T \quad F \quad F \quad T \quad T \quad F \quad F$$

$$F \quad T \quad T \quad F \quad T \quad T \quad T$$

$$F \quad F \quad T \quad T \quad T \quad T \quad T$$

Therefore, That: $(p \Rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)$ is a tautology.

2) show that the statement is $(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow \neg(p \vee q)$

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$(\neg p \wedge \neg q)$	$(p \vee q)$	$\neg(p \vee q)$	$\neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q)$
T	T	F	F	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	F	T	F	T
F	T	T	F	F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	F	T	T

Therefore, The last column it is clearly that $(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow \neg(p \vee q)$ is a tautology.

3) Show that the statement is

$$(\neg p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow \neg(p \wedge q)$$

$\neg p \vee \neg q$	$\neg p$	$\neg q$	$(\neg p \vee \neg q)$	$(p \wedge q)$	$\neg(p \wedge q)$	$\neg(\neg p \vee \neg q)$
T	T	F	F	F	T	F
T	F	F	T	T	F	T
F	T	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	F	T	T

Therefore, The last column is a clearly that $(\neg p \vee \neg q) \rightarrow \neg(p \wedge q)$ is a.